ADDRESS SPECIAL DOMMINICATIONS TO ... THE BECRETARY OF STATE





June 2, 1949

No : Assistant Di ector, (CD

Central Intelli ence . ...cy

KILL : \_\_

FRO : M. H. Ingersoli, IAD

Department of State

SULUZOT: Case No. 2266

The Department of State is interested in the following questions, concerning Case No. 2266.

- 1. Did the Kalmyks take part in any unti-Soviet activities during the war? How is the disappearance of the Kalmyk ASSR from Soviet postwar publications to be explained:
- 2. Here the worthine anti-Soviet actions of the Marachayevtsi motivated by local nationalism and anti-Mussian feelings or by hostility to the socialization pro rat of the Communist Party?
- 3. What is the explanation for the reorganization of the Rabardino-Halkarian ASER into the Rabardinian ASER? Did the Balkarians, like the Karachayevtsi, prove unreliable during the war? If so, what motivated their hostility toward loscow? Was it local nationalian and anti-hussian feeling, or was displeasure with socialism the basis for the hostility?
- 4. That part, if any, did i.a. Suslow (the Communist Party secretary of Stavro, ol krai during the war) play in suppressing the anti-Soviet outbursts of the Caucasian peoples?
- 5. What indications of hostility to loscow were there in Central Asia during the '20's and '30's? Here Modzhaiyev and Extensive actually involved in nationalist and separatist activities as they were accused of in 1930 or were they merely victims of Stalin's rise to complete power? Mas the Dasmachi movement finally liquidated? If so, when? If not, how does it manifest itself:
- 6. Unat motivated the hostility of the Crimean Tabars toward loscow during the war? Tas it mathematical and anti-massian feeling or dissatisfaction with the policies of the Communist Farty?

7. The Soviet



FUR COORDINATION WITH

5-tate

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SOURCES METHODS EXEMPTION 3828 NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT DATE 2003 2005





7. The hoviet proce addition than 20, line that the Grechen-Injush ASSR had been disselved because "many Chochens" had collaborated with the demans. It stated that the "Chechens" were recettled but made no mention of the Injush. Did the Injush suffer the same fate as the Grechens? Unat metivated the hostility of these per le toward loscow:

3. Now readily can people specific different Furnic languages understand each oblic? See an Uzbek-speaking person understand someone speaking azerbaidzhan?

generalizations

R. D. Lymes

CLI: IAD: R'Ilnyersoll: rr

SECRET